2DPHOT

(La Barbera et al. 2008, PASP to appear)

Francesco La Barbera Scott Dodelson Reinaldo R. de Carvalho Marcelle Santos

João L.Kohl Nilson S'Antanna **Rafael Santos**

Image catalogue by S-Extractor

Star/Galaxy separation



 \square PSF fitting (1D+2D)



Structural/Morphological parameters

Sersic parameters (1D and 2D) Petrosian parameters (seeing corrected) Growth curve parameters (seeing corrected) Profiles of galaxy ellipticity, PA, A_n and B_n

Completeness/Contamination

2DPHOT

(La Barbera et al. 2008, PASP to appear)



Applying 2DPHOT to Survey data

→ SDSS and UKIDSS-LAS

→ CFHTLS- wide and deep

→ LFC/HST-ACS data

 \implies WFI/2.2m

 \implies VST images



INPUT IMAGES



ESO 2.2m telescope WFI image field name: 3c273 (R_c band)

image size 9k x 9k (8700x8900) pixel scale 0.238"/pixel 34.5'x35' (¼ square degree)

> MAXIMUM SIZE 12k x 12k



INPUT IMAGES

WEIGHT MAP

MASK IMAGE



INPUT FILES/OPTIONS



-1	Minimum S/N ratio required to perform 2D final fitting and surface photometry.		8, 9
-x	Stamp sizes are proportional to the S-Extractor ISOAREA parameter. This	EXPND	4
	parameter provides the proportionality factor.		
-Z	Maximum size of the stamp images. This parameter can be used to prevent		4
	overly large stamp frames.		
-i	Minimum S/N ratio required to define sure stars.		3
-f	Maximum S/N ratio required to define sure stars.		3
-j	Number of Moffat/Gaussian functions for 1D PSF fitting.		9.2
-m	Number of Moffat/Gaussian functions for 2D PSF fitting.	NSMAX	5
-g	Functions used in the 2D PSF fitting (0=Moffat, 1=Gaussian).		5
-t	Number of \cos/\sin terms used for the expansion of star isophotes in the 2D PSF	6 0	5
	fitting.		
-d	Minimum S/N ratio to perform 2D fitting with expansion of the galaxy model		8
	into a cos/sin series.		
-b	Label providing the cos terms used for the expansion of the galaxy model in 2D	0	8, 9.1
	final fitting (e.gb 34 makes 2DPHOT calculate the $a_{\rm 3}$ and $a_{\rm 4}$ coefficients)		
-c	Label providing the sin terms used for the expansion of the galaxy model in 2D	3:3	8, 9.1
	final fitting.	2	
-е	Minimum distance of an object to the image edges, in units of its FWHM.	REDGE	3
	Objects that are closer to the edge this distance are not analyzed.		
-8	Maximum number of sure stars used in a cell to perform PSF modeling.	NSIZE	5, 9.2
-a	Flag that determines the 2D PSF fitting method. When equal to zero, this		5
	option forces all sure stars in a given cell to be fitted simultaneously. When		
	equal to one, a single fit to each sure star is performed.	ý	
-11	Size (in pixels) of the grid cells where PSF modeling is performed.		5
-0	The user can choose to process only some objects in the image by providing a		3
	list of x and y coordinates on the image. This feature is enabled with -o 1.		



THE CATALOG



The catalog includes 9445 sources out of 10899 (before cleaning)

SEEING ESTIMATE - SURE STARS



First selection of stars (ellipticity <=0.1, S/N ratio cut)

The seeing value is obtained by applying the bi-weight estimator

Definition of sure stars



STAMPS AND MASKING



For each source, a stamp image is extracted by using S-Extractor parameters (ISOAREA, PA, ELLIPTICITY)

PSF MODELING

simultaneous fitting of several SURE STARS

PSF fitting is done in both 1D and 2D

PSF model: sum of Moffat/Gaussian functions (integrated on image pixels)

PSF distortions are corrected for by expanding isophotes in a sin/cos series

PSF variations with position are also accounted for

PSF MODELING: SPATIAL VARIATION



Image has been processed on a 4x4 grid, with the cell size being equal to the short side of each CCD. PSF models (both 1D and 2D) are created independently for each cell.

PSF MODELING: 1D CASE



Each panel shows the fitting residuals in a given cell.

PSF MODELING: 2D CASE



COARSE FITTING (ALL SOURCES)

Moffat 2D fit

Overlapping sources are 'reduced' to the case of single sources

- A first rough 2D fit is done by using PSF convolved 2D Sersic models
- Sersic parameters (r_e, m_{tot}, n) are varied on a (8x3x3) adaptive grid of values

Moffat fitting of overlapping sources from one image of the PACS (Gal et al.'00)

SIMULATED STARS – STELLAR LOCUS

Simulated stars are added to the input image. The number density of these stars is chosen to be negligible with respect to that of observed sources.

STAR/GALAXY CLASSIFICATION

Simulated stars always have a deconvolved effective radius smaller than ~1pxl (result from several simulated stellar fields).

Stars and galaxies are separated according to both the stellar locus and the value of r_e .

ISOPHOTAL ANALYSIS

Isophotes are approximately equally spaced with respect to their equivalent radius (up to 3σ over the background mean value). For each isphote, center coordinates, axis ratio, PA, A_n , B_n are fitted.

Color encoding of surface brightness values

23.99

23.74

23.50

23.25

22.99

22.73

22.51

22.24

22.02

21.71

21.43

21.13

20.89

ISOPHOTAL ANALYSIS: OUTPUT

ISOPHOTAL ANALYSIS: 1D PROFILE

The 1D surface brightness profile is extracted along concentric isophotes with same PA, b/a, A_n and B_n parameters

PETROSIAN PARAMETERS

The $\eta(R)$ function is defined as the logarithmic ratio of the mean surface brightness within a given radius and surface brightness at that radius.

SEEING CORRECTION BY USING THE 2D MODEL

SEEING CORRECTED MAGNITUDES

2D FITTING

Examples of 2D fitting for SDSS and UKIDSS early-type galaxies. Effective radii for these galaxies are in the range of 0.8-2.0".

2D FITTING

2D fitting of galaxies in the field of 3c273. These plots are automatically produced by 2DPHOT. Normalized residuals are plotted as a function of the distance to the galaxy center in differents bins of the polar angle.

CONTAMINATION/COMPLETENESS

Simulated galaxies are added to the image (according to the measured galaxy structural parameters). For both simulated stars and galaxies, the fraction of misclassified sources (contamination) and the fraction of not detected sources (completeness) is measured.

TESTING 2DPHOT WITH DATA AND SIMULATIONS

ISOPHOTAL AND STRUCTURAL PARAMETERS

Comparison of a4 values for (42) galaxies in common between SDSS-DR5 and Bender et al.'89.

Comparison of structural parameters for simulated cluster galaxies at z=0.05, 0.15, 0.25.

HST vs. GROUND-BASED COMPARISON

Comparison of star/galaxy classification from ground-based and HST images. Ground-based photometry consists of 2 LFC (Palomar 200") pointings (0.12 deg²) of the z=0.9 cl1604 supercluster (Gal et al. 2004, 2005). HST data consist of 15 ACS pointing on the same field.

CONCLUSIONS

graphical interface: 2DGUI (running+inspecting results)

2 min/galaxy on a 2.4GHz Intel processor

cluster (25 nodes) at INPE-Sao Paolo (BR) ~110 processors University of Naples (IT) *SCOPE* consortium ~500 processors

